

EXHIBIT I

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/29/2000	R. Haile	R. Zahir, J. Baxter	N/A	email from attorney with attached draft of patent application	AC	
3/29/2000	R. Haile	R. Zahir, J. Baxter	N/A	draft of patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	
3/29/2000	R. Haile	R. Zahir	J. Baxter	email from attorney discussing draft of patent application	AC	
8/23/2000	M. Smith	R. Zahir	N/A	email from attorney regarding attached draft of paper for conference	AC	involves back-and-forth discussion between author of paper and Intel attorney regarding approval of draft of paper
8/23/2000	M. Smith	R. Zahir	N/A	draft of paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by author and attorney
7/8/2000	H. Mulder	MD7arch, SC	N/A	email to group that discusses submissions to attorneys and reflects confidential attorney-client communications.	AC	request for information from Intel Legal Department
8/23/2000	R. Zahir	M. Smith	N/A	email to attorney discussing draft of patent application	AC	involves back-and-forth discussion between author of paper and Intel attorney regarding approval of draft of paper
8/23/2000	R. Zahir	M. Smith	N/A	draft of paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by author and attorney
12/18/2002	D. Morris	R. Zahir, J. Huck	J. Ross, D. Plettner, D. Morris	email regarding patentability of invention reflecting confidential attorney-client communications.	AC	request for information from attorney D. Plettner
12/18/2002	R. Zahir	D. Morris, J. Huck	J. Ross, D. Plettner, R. Zahir	email regarding patentability of invention reflecting confidential attorney-client communications.	AC	request for information from attorney D. Plettner
12/17/2002	D. Plettner	D. Morris	J. Ross, R. Zahir	email regarding patentability of invention reflecting confidential attorney-client communications.	AC	
12/17/2002	D. Morris	J. Huck	D. Morris, J. Ross, R. Zahir, D. Plettner	invention reflecting confidential attorney-client communications.	AC	request for information from attorney D. Plettner
12/17/2002	D. Morris	J. Huck	D. Morris, J. Ross, R. Zahir, D. Plettner	email attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	request for information from attorney D. Plettner

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
12/17/2002	D. Morris	J. Huck	D. Morris, J. Ross, R. Zahir, D. Pletner	email attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	regarding request for information from attorney D. Pletner
11/17/2000	R. Haile	R. Zahir	N/A	email from attorney with attached draft of patent application	AC	involves discussion between inventor and Intel attorney regarding approval of draft of patent application
11/17/2000	R. Haile	R. Zahir	N/A	diagrams for patent application draft attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/17/2000	R. Haile	R. Zahir	N/A	patent application draft attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
4/8/2002	D. Solts	J. Crawford, S. Naffziger, P. French, G. Hammond, J. Harper, D. McCarroll, D. Myron, M. Smith, J. Waxman	N/A	email to group including attorney with attachments regarding an accused product	AC	submission of papers seeking approval from group that includes attorney
4/8/2002	D. Solts	J. Crawford, S. Naffziger, P. French, G. Hammond, J. Harper, D. McCarroll, D. Myron, M. Smith, J. Waxman	N/A	attachment re: accused product attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by group that includes attorney
4/8/2002	D. Solts	J. Crawford, S. Naffziger, P. French, G. Hammond, J. Harper, D. McCarroll, D. Myron, M. Smith, J. Waxman	N/A	attachment re: accused product attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by group that includes attorney
4/8/2002	D. Solts	J. Crawford, S. Naffziger, P. French, G. Hammond, J. Harper, D. McCarroll, D. Myron, M. Smith, J. Waxman	N/A	attachment re: accused product attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by group that includes attorney
8/1/2002	D. Solts	J. Crawford, S. Naffziger, P. French, D. McCarroll, D. Myron, M. Smith, J. Waxman	N/A	email to group including attorney regarding an accused product	AC	involves discussion between inventor and Intel attorney regarding approval of draft of patent application
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solts	N/A	email from attorney about patent applications	AC	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as documents being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Harris	D. Solits	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/1/2004	C. Vock	D. Solits	N/A	patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
4/6/2005	J. Boulden	N/A	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	J. Boulden is an Intel paralegal, and this communication relates to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/26/2004	J. Boulden	N/A	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	J. Boulden is an Intel paralegal, and this communication relates to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
2/4/2005	C. McNairy	D. Mayo	N/A	email to attorney re: product architecture	AC	regards information to be reviewed for legal approval
2/4/2005	C. McNairy	D. Mayo	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	regards information to be reviewed for legal approval
4/7/2005	C. McNairy	R. Diehl	N/A	email to attorney re: product architecture	AC	response to request from attorney for advice on specific information regarding product architecture
3/24/2005	C. McNairy	R. Diehl	N/A	email to attorney re: product architecture	AC	response to request from attorney for advice on specific information regarding product architecture relevant to representation
3/22/2005	C. McNairy	R. Diehl	D. Call	email to attorney re: patent	AC	response to request from attorney for advice on specific information regarding product architecture; contains attachment that helps provide response
3/22/2005	C. McNairy	R. Diehl	D. Call	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment that helps provide response to request from attorney for advice on specific information regarding product architecture
10/3/2003	K. Wong	R. Huffstetler, E. O'Donnell, M. Smith, C. McNairy, M. Bhat, A. Thakar, D. Bryant, Z. Stabley, L. Hambrick	D. Latscha	email to group that includes attorney re: meeting	AC	meeting purpose is to review information and determine best strategy with input from all in group

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/3/2003	K. Wong	R. Huffstetler, E. O'Donnell, M. Smith, C. McNairy, M. Bhat, A. Thakar, D. Bryant, Z. Shabley, L. Hambrick	D. Latscha	meeting information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	meeting purpose is to review information and determine best strategy with input from all in group
10/2/2002	K. Wong	R. Huffstetler, E. O'Donnell, M. Smith, C. McNairy, M. Bhat, A. Thakar, D. Bryant, Z. Shabley, L. Hambrick	R. Dracott, J. Fister, J. Walters, D. Latscha	email to group that includes attorney re: meeting	AC	meeting purpose is to review information and determine best strategy with input from all in group
10/2/2002	K. Wong	R. Huffstetler, E. O'Donnell, M. Smith, C. McNairy, M. Bhat, A. Thakar, D. Bryant, Z. Shabley, L. Hambrick	R. Dracott, J. Fister, J. Walters, D. Latscha	meeting information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	meeting purpose is to review information and determine best strategy with input from all in group
10/2/2002	K. Wong	R. Huffstetler, E. O'Donnell, M. Smith, C. McNairy, M. Bhat, A. Thakar, P. Gutierrez, Z. Shabley, L. Hambrick	M. Karnik, B. Kirkos, J. Crawford, D. Latscha, D. Bryant	email to group that includes attorney re: meeting	AC	meeting purpose is to review information and determine best strategy with input from all in group
10/2/2002	K. Wong	R. Huffstetler, E. O'Donnell, M. Smith, C. McNairy, M. Bhat, A. Thakar, P. Gutierrez, Z. Shabley, L. Hambrick	M. Karnik, B. Kirkos, J. Crawford, D. Latscha, D. Bryant	meeting information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	meeting purpose is to review information and determine best strategy with input from all in group
1/11/2005	C. McNairy	M. Smith, S. Nafziger, D. Solis, M. Mehta, J. Crawford	N/A	email re: permission to publish paper	AC	sent to group including attorney M. Smith to be reviewed, then provide advice and approval
12/21/2004	C. McNairy	M. Smith, S. Nafziger, D. Solis, M. Mehta, J. Crawford	N/A	email to group including attorney re: permission to publish paper	AC	sent to group including attorney M. Smith to be reviewed for advice and approval
12/21/2004	C. McNairy	M. Smith, S. Nafziger, D. Solis, M. Mehta, J. Crawford	N/A	draft of paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent to group including attorney M. Smith to be reviewed, then provide advice and approval
6/23/2003	J. Hansson	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney: re permission to publish paper	AC	contains attorney advice regarding publication
11/19/2002	C. Faatz	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: article	AC	back-and-forth discussion involving advice regarding substance of presentation
5/17/2002	C. McNairy	M. Smith, M. Craft, J. Crawford	T. Lyon	email to group including attorney regarding conference presentation	AC	sent to group including attorney M. Smith to be reviewed for advice and approval

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
5/17/2002	C. McNairy	M. Smith, M. Craft, J. Crawford D. Bryant, J. Crawford, D. Solits, M. Graf, G. Hammond, J. Harper, D. McCarroll, P. French, S. Naffziger, M. Smith	T. Lyon	presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent to group including attorney M. Smith to be reviewed for advice and approval
7/18/2001	J. Crawford	D. Bryant, J. Crawford, D. Solits, M. Graf, G. Hammond, J. Harper, D. McCarroll, P. French, S. Naffziger, M. Smith	D. Myron, C. McNairy	email to group including attorney regarding conference presentation	AC	sent to group including attorney M. Smith to be reviewed for advice and approval
7/18/2002	J. Crawford	Hammmond, J. Harper, D. McCarroll, P. French, S. Naffziger, M. Smith	D. Myron, C. McNairy	conference paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent to group including attorney M. Smith to be reviewed for advice and approval
4/7/2005	R. Diehl	C. McNairy	N/A	email re: architecture information	AC	R. Diehl is an Intel patent attorney and this communication relates to a request for information from the attorney regarding Ilanium architecture
1/6/2005	C. McNairy	C. Stewart	N/A	email re: invention disclosure with privileged attachment	AC	email contains privileged attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/6/2005	C. McNairy	C. Stewart	N/A	invention disclosure drafted by Intel Legal Dept. attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email contains attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/24/2004	G. Averill	C. McNairy	G. Averill	email re: invention disclosure with privileged attachment	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/24/2004	G. Averill	C. McNairy	G. Averill	invention disclosure drafted by Intel Legal Dept. attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/16/2004	J. Wei	C. McNairy	N/A	email re: patent filing with privileged attachment	AC	email contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2004	J. Wei	C. McNairy	N/A	invention disclosure drafted by Intel Legal Dept. attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
2/5/2003	M. Smith	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	from attorney M. Smith regarding attorney's specific request for information relevant to representation
1/31/2003	M. Smith	C. Faatz, C. McNairy	D. Simon, J. Schiffhauer	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	contains draft of language regarding product architecture and requests response confirming accuracy or suggesting changes
11/15/2002	C. Faatz	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	discussion regarding response to attorney's specific request for information relevant to representation
11/12/2002	C. McNairy	C. Faatz	N/A	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	discussion regarding response to attorney's specific request for information relevant to representation
10/17/2002	C. Faatz	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	discussion regarding response to attorney's specific request for information relevant to representation
10/17/2002	C. McNairy	C. Faatz	N/A	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	discussion regarding response to attorney's specific request for information relevant to representation
10/17/2002	C. Faatz	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	discussion regarding response to attorney's specific request for information relevant to representation
10/16/2002	L. Novakoski	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	attorney advice on viability of invention as a patent

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/11/2002	C. Faatz	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: product information	AC	specific request by attorney for information relevant to representation
10/11/2002	C. Faatz	C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: product architecture	AC	specific request by attorney for information relevant to representation
4/20/2002	M. Berg	C. McNairy	N/A	email re: patent application with privileged attachment	AC	email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/20/2002	M. Berg	C. McNairy	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	inventions to Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/6/2001	J. Travis	S. Januli, Q. Merrell, C. McNairy	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application patent information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	discussion between inventor and Intel attorney regarding approval of draft of patent application
11/6/2001	J. Travis	S. Januli, Q. Merrell, C. McNairy	N/A	patent information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
11/6/2001	J. Travis	S. Januli, Q. Merrell, C. McNairy	N/A	patent information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	actually are diagrams that are part of draft in process of being reviewed and revised by inventor and attorney
8/1/2000	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	draft of materials prepared by Intel attorney A. Shah
8/1/2000	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia patent information attached to invention disclosure	AC	
12/15/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
12/15/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
12/1/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	

Biax Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
12/1/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
12/15/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
7/31/2003	G. Hammond	C. Scafidi	N/A	email with privileged attachment	AC	email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/31/2003	G. Hammond	C. Scafidi	N/A	invention disclosure drafted by Intel Legal Dept. attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/31/2003	G. Hammond	C. Scafidi	N/A	invention disclosure drafted by Intel Legal Dept. attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/31/2003	G. Hammond	C. Scafidi	N/A	product architecture information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/12/2003	S. Jourdan	R. Ronen, C. Scafidi	P. Hammarlund, J. Draeger	email regarding patents	AC	email discussion regarding how to respond to Intel attorney J. Draeger's specific request for information made earlier in the chain
unknown	Kenyon & Kenyon	N/A	N/A	emediia draft of patent application	AC	
unknown	Kenyon & Kenyon	N/A	N/A	emediia draft of patent application	AC	
unknown	Kenyon & Kenyon	N/A	N/A	emediia draft of patent application	AC	
unknown	Kenyon & Kenyon	N/A	N/A	emediia draft of patent application	AC	
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
unknown	Blakely Sokoloff LLP	N/A	N/A	emediia draft of patent application	AC	
unknown	Blakely Sokoloff LLP	N/A	N/A	emediia draft of patent application	AC	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/20/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/27/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
10/2/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
9/19/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
9/19/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/25/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/25/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
8/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orensten, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Gitkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	email from atty re: patent applications	AC	contains attached drafts of patent applications, and invention disclosures and diagrams related to the applications; seeks review and requests for feedback from inventors
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orensten, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Gitkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent application, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orensten, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Girkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of invention disclosure, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orensten, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Girkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of diagrams, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orensten, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Girkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of diagrams, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orenstien, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Girkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent application, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orenstien, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Girkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of diagrams, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orenstien, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hammarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Girkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of diagrams, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/23/2005	S. Bacon	H. Wang, C. Zou, D. Orensten, J. Shen, L. Smith, P. Hannarlund, D. Rogers, J. Crossland, X. Tian, M. Girkar, G. Chinya, R. Hankins, S. Kaushik, B. Bigbee, J. Brandt, B. Patel, D. Carnean, J. Reid, P. Sethi	S. Bacon	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of invention disclosure, for which review and feedback from inventors is sought
9/22/2004	H. Wang	G. Hinton	T. Diep, J. Shen, B. Patel, P. Sethi, B. Bigbee, T. Piazza, D. Carnean, R. Hankins	email to attorney re: patent application email re: product performance with attached draft of patent disclosure	AC	
9/20/2004	H. Wang	D. Carnean	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	provides attached information to add to draft of patent application
2/17/2000	H. Wang	J. Brockschink	R. Kling, E. Grochowski, K. Ramakrishnan	email to paralegal re: patent application	AC	attached information to be added to draft of patent application
2/17/2000	H. Wang	J. Brockschink	R. Kling, E. Grochowski, K. Ramakrishnan	email to paralegal re: patent application	AC	email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/2/2000	P. Wang	E. Grochowski	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/2/2000	P. Wang	E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/30/2000	H. Wang	J. Shen, J. Collins, B. Black, E. Grochowski, R. Kling, P. Wang	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure and attorney in email chain	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/30/2000	H. Wang	J. Shen, J. Collins, B. Black, E. Grochowski, R. Kling, P. Wang	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
8/9/2001	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Group	E. Grochowski, J. Stark, J. Shen	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	Invention Disclosure Group contains attorneys; email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/9/2001	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Group	E. Grochowski, J. Stark, J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authorized by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/9/2001	E. Grochowski	J. Shen	E. Grochowski, J. Stark	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	authoring by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/9/2001	E. Grochowski	J. Shen	E. Grochowski, J. Stark	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authoring by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/3/2001	E. Grochowski	E. Grochowski, J. Stark, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, H. Wang, P. Wang, J. Shen, B. Black	C. Wilkerson	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/3/2001	E. Grochowski	E. Grochowski, J. Stark, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, H. Wang, P. Wang, J. Shen, B. Black	C. Wilkerson	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authoring by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/4/2001	E. Grochowski	S. Lee	J. Stark, E. Grochowski	email re: draft of patent application	AC	email to attorney with attached draft of patent application; discussion regarding edits to draft
12/4/2001	E. Grochowski	S. Lee	J. Stark, E. Grochowski	draft of patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft for review
12/3/2001	S. Lee	E. Grochowski	N/A	email re: draft of patent application	AC	email from attorney with attached draft of patent application
12/3/2001	S. Lee	E. Grochowski	N/A	draft of patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft for review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
12/3/2001	E. Grochowski	S. Lee	N/A	email re: draft of patent application	AC	email to attorney with attached draft of patent application
12/3/2001	E. Grochowski	S. Lee	N/A	draft of patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft for review Intel paralegal J. Bouden sent these attachments to group including Intel attorneys J. Drager, E. Metzger and M. Smith requesting review; forwarded here to fulfill request
7/22/2002	J. Shen	E. Grochowski, H. Wang	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure and attorney in email chain	AC	attachment forwarded for review per paralegal's request
7/22/2002	J. Shen	E. Grochowski, H. Wang	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment forwarded for review per paralegal's request
7/22/2002	J. Shen	E. Grochowski, H. Wang	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment forwarded for review per paralegal's request
7/22/2002	J. Bouden	B. Rash, B. Burgess, C. Miller, D. Nicholls, E. Sprangle, E. Metzger, J. Beale, J. Baxter, J. Draeger, J. McKeivitt, J. Murphy, J. Shen, K. Abela-Gale, L. Novakowski, L. Welsh, M. Bedolla, M. Smith, M. Neshetwat, M. Kelly, P. Kundu, P. Rubinfeld, P. Lam, R. Kling, R. Powers, R. Ronen, S. Kirch, S. Parvin, S. Bacon, S. Jourdan	J. Barkatullah	email from attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	request sent from Intel paralegal requesting review of attachments; one of which is authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege						
DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/22/2002	J. Boulden	B. Rash, B. Burgess, C. Miller, D. Nicholls, E. Sprangle, E. Metzger, J. Beale, J. Baxter, J. Draeger, J. McKeivitt, J. Murphy, J. Shen, K. Abela-Gale, L. Novakoski, L. Welsh, M. Bedolla, M. Smith, M. Neshelwat, M. Kelly, P. Kundu, P. Rubinfield, P. Lam, R. Kling, R. Powers, R. Ronen, S. Kirch, S. Parvin, S. Bacon, S. Jourdan	L. Mennemeier, M. Mallie, T. Bui, E. Grochowski, H. Wang, J. Barkatullah	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/22/2002	J. Boulden	B. Rash, B. Burgess, C. Miller, D. Nicholls, E. Sprangle, E. Metzger, J. Beale, J. Baxter, J. Draeger, J. McKeivitt, J. Murphy, J. Shen, K. Abela-Gale, L. Novakoski, L. Welsh, M. Bedolla, M. Smith, M. Neshelwat, M. Kelly, P. Kundu, P. Rubinfield, P. Lam, R. Kling, R. Powers, R. Ronen, S. Kirch, S. Parvin, S. Bacon, S. Jourdan	L. Mennemeier, M. Mallie, T. Bui, E. Grochowski, H. Wang, J. Barkatullah	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/15/2002	J. Shen	J. Rattner, J. Boulden	J. Shen, R. Rakvic, E. Grochowski, B. Black	email to attorney re: approval on technical paper	AC	email seeks approval and/or editing advice from Intel paralegal
3/15/2002	J. Shen	J. Rattner, J. Boulden	J. Shen, R. Rakvic, E. Grochowski, B. Black	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft for review
3/15/2002	J. Shen	J. Rattner, J. Boulden	J. Shen, R. Rakvic, E. Grochowski, B. Black	approval form attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log Re: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege						
DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/14/2002	J. Shen	J. Rattner, J. Bouden	R. Rakvic, E. Grochowski, B. Black	email to attorney re: approval on technical paper	AC	email seeks approval and/or editing advice from Intel paralegal
3/14/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Bouden	R. Rakvic, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Shen, J. Rattner	email to attorney re: approval on technical paper	AC	email seeks approval and/or editing advice from Intel paralegal
3/14/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Bouden	R. Rakvic, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Shen, J. Rattner	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft for review
3/14/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Bouden	R. Rakvic, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Shen, J. Rattner	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft for review
3/1/2002	J. Draeger	E. Grochowski, S. Jourdan, N. Samra	N/A	email from attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	contains draft of disclosure and asks for feedback
3/1/2002	J. Draeger	E. Grochowski, S. Jourdan, N. Samra	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., and relates to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/8/2002	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Submission	E. Grochowski, J. Shen	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email to group which includes Intel Legal Dept. with attachment relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/8/2002	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Submission	E. Grochowski, J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., and relates to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/7/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Shen	E. Grochowski	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/7/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Shen	E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/2/2002	E. Metzger	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	contains draft of disclosure and asks for feedback

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
5/2/2002	E. Metzger	E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., and relates to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/2/2002	E. Metzger	E. Grochowski	N/A	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	information re: invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/22/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Crawford	J. Shen, E. Grochowski, B. Black, J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	email attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/21/2002	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski, B. Black, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	J. Shen	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/21/2002	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski, B. Black, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date

Fourth Supplemental Log Re: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/21/2002	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski, B. Black, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/21/2002	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski, B. Black, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/21/2002	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski, B. Black, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/21/2002	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski, B. Black, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	J. Shen	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/21/2002	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski, B. Black, E. Brekelbaum, J. Devale	J. Shen	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment in same parent/child relationship as above documents authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	J. Shen	E. Brekelbaum, J. Rupley, E. Grochowski	email with attached invention disclosures	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	J. Shen	E. Brekelbaum, J. Rupley, E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	J. Shen	E. Brekelbaum, J. Rupley, E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/14/2002	B. Black	J. Shen	E. Brekelbaum, J. Rupley, E. Grochowski	email with attached invention disclosures	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	J. Shen	E. Brekelbaum, J. Rupley, E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	J. Shen	E. Brekelbaum, J. Rupley, E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/11/2002	E. Grochowski	B. Black	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	E. Grochowski	B. Black	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	E. Grochowski	B. Black	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	B. Black	E. Grochowski	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/4/2002	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Submission	E. Grochowski, J. Shen	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/4/2002	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Submission	E. Grochowski, J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/1/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Shen	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/1/2002	E. Grochowski	J. Shen	J. Rupley, E. Brekelbaum	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/11/2002	H. Wang	J. Shen, E. Grochowski	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	H. Wang	J. Shen, E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	H. Wang	J. Shen, E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	H. Wang	J. Shen, E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	J. Shen	H. Wang, E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	J. Shen	H. Wang, E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/11/2002	J. Shen	H. Wang, E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/21/2003	P. Lam	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: patents	AC	patents relating to register file sent for review and comment
4/21/2003	P. Lam	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	patents sent for review and comment

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
4/21/2003	P. Lam	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	patents sent for review and comment
4/21/2003	P. Lam	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	patents sent for review and comment
4/21/2003	P. Lam	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	patents sent for review and comment
4/17/2003	A. AuYeung	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: patent	AC	specific request by attorney for information relevant to representation
4/11/2003	J. Boulden	E. Grochowski	J. Rupley, B. Black	email from attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	email from Intel paralegal relating to draft of invention disclosure
4/11/2003	E. Grochowski	J. Boulden	J. Rupley, B. Black	email to attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	email to Intel paralegal relating to draft of invention disclosure
4/11/2003	E. Grochowski	J. Boulden	J. Rupley, B. Black	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authorized by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/10/2003	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski	B. Black	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/10/2003	J. Rupley	E. Grochowski	B. Black	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authorized by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/9/2003	J. Draeger	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: product information	AC	specific request by attorney for information relevant to representation
4/9/2003	J. Draeger	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	contains draft of disclosure and asks for feedback
4/9/2003	J. Draeger	E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authorized by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/8/2003	D. Nichols	P. Wang, H. Wang, E. Grochowski	Y. Lee, P. Kundu, J. Draeger	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application

Fourth Supplemental Log Re: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
12/5/2003	H. Wang	D. Nicholls, P. Wang	E. Grochowski, J. Draeger	email to attorney re: patent application.	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application drafts sent for review and comment
12/5/2003	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, P. Wang	E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authorized by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/5/2003	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, P. Wang	E. Grochowski	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	
12/5/2003	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, P. Wang	E. Grochowski	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft authored by Intel Legal Dept.
12/5/2003	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, P. Wang, M. Smith, M. McDermott, S. Espy, E. Grochowski, A. Yeaz, A. Beaumont-Smith, W. Ferguson	E. Grochowski	communication bearing the same date	AC	draft authored by Intel Legal Dept.
2/10/2003	R. Collier	M. Smith, M. McDermott, S. Espy, E. Grochowski, A. Yeaz, A. Beaumont-Smith, W. Ferguson	B. Norin, J. Harrison, M. Cornea, C. Lordache	email to attorney re: nondisclosure agreement with university	AC	request to attorney for advice regarding attached paper
2/10/2003	R. Collier		B. Norin, J. Harrison, M. Cornea, C. Lordache	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent for review and comment email with attachments authored by Intel Legal Dept relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/13/2003	Y. Lee	J. Baxter, E. Grochowski, R. Kling, P. Kundu, H. Wang	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	authorized by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/13/2003	Y. Lee	J. Baxter, E. Grochowski, R. Kling, P. Kundu, H. Wang	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for; copied to attorney
5/12/2003	E. Grochowski	P. Kundu	J. Rupley, S. Bacon, U. Echeruo	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	
5/12/2003	E. Grochowski	P. Kundu	J. Rupley, S. Bacon, U. Echeruo	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/13/2003	P. Kundu	E. Grochowski, S. Bacon, J. Rupley	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/13/2003	E. Grochowski	P. Kundu, S. Bacon, J. Rupley	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/13/2003	P. Kundu	E. Grochowski, S. Bacon, J. Rupley	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/13/2003	E. Grochowski	P. Kundu, S. Bacon, J. Rupley	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/13/2003	E. Grochowski	P. Kundu, S. Bacon, J. Rupley	N/A	memo re: product architecture attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent for review and comment
11/13/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, P. Kundu, J. Rupley	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/12/2003	P. Kundu	E. Grochowski, S. Bacon, J. Rupley	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	D. Watson	P. Kundu, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	D. Watson	P. Kundu, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	D. Watson	P. Kundu, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/11/2003	E. Grochowski	S. Bacon	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, P. Kundu, J. Rupley	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email with attached drafts sent for review and comment

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, P. Kundu, J. Rupley	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, P. Kundu, J. Rupley	N/A	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, P. Kundu, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	
11/11/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, P. Kundu, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment D. Watson is an Intel paralegal; email with drafts sent from attorney for review
11/7/2003	D. Watson	J. Rupley, E. Grochowski, E. Berkelbaum, B. Black	S. Bacon	email from S. Bacon's legal assistant re: patent application	AC	
11/7/2003	D. Watson	J. Rupley, E. Grochowski, E. Berkelbaum, B. Black	S. Bacon	power of attorney for patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
11/7/2003	D. Watson	J. Rupley, E. Grochowski, E. Berkelbaum, B. Black	S. Bacon	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
11/7/2003	D. Watson	J. Rupley, E. Grochowski, E. Berkelbaum, B. Black	S. Bacon	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
10/27/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	
10/27/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
10/27/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
10/9/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	
10/9/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
10/9/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/9/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley	S. Bacon	email re: task force write-up attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached back-and-forth discussion regarding task force write up and drafting of application
10/9/2003	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley E. Brekelbaum, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, B. Black	S. Bacon	task force write-up attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached privileged documents, and sent for review and comment
9/29/2003	S. Bacon	E. Brekelbaum, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, B. Black	S. Bacon	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
9/29/2003	S. Bacon	E. Brekelbaum, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, B. Black	S. Bacon	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
9/29/2003	S. Bacon		S. Bacon	email re: patent application with attorney involved in chain	AC	email to co-inventor regarding draft of application that had been sent for review and comment to inventors by Intel attorney S. Bacon
9/22/2003	E. Brekelbaum	E. Grochowski	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of application
9/22/2003	E. Grochowski	E. Brekelbaum, S. Bacon, J. Rupley, B. Black	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/22/2003	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Submission	J. Shen, E. Grochowski	email with attached invention disclosures	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/22/2003	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Submission	J. Shen, E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/22/2003	J. Shen	Invention Disclosure Submission	J. Shen, E. Grochowski	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

*Biac Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.***Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege**

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
9/18/2003	S. Bacon	E. Brekelbaum, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, B. Black	S. Bacon	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
9/18/2003	S. Bacon	E. Brekelbaum, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, B. Black	S. Bacon	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
9/18/2003	S. Bacon	E. Brekelbaum, E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, B. Black	S. Bacon	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
9/16/2003	P. Lam	E. Grochowski, S. Tam	P. Lam	email from attorney re: patents	AC	specific request by attorney for information relevant to representation
4/7/2004	D. Watson	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, P. Kundu	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	D. Watson is an Intel paralegal; email with drafts sent from attorney for review
4/7/2004	D. Watson	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, P. Kundu	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
4/7/2004	D. Watson	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, P. Kundu	N/A	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
4/7/2004	D. Watson	E. Grochowski, J. Rupley, P. Kundu	N/A	power of attorney for patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
8/10/2004	J. Draeger	J. Boulden, A. Li, A. Knies, A. Shah, B. Jordan, B. Rash, C. Dziedziak, C. Percelle, D. McCabe, D. Nicholls, D. Reynolds, E. Grochowski, E. Sprangle, E. Metzger, G. Chrysos, G. Olds, H. Wang, J. Baxter, J. Rupley, L. Mennemeier, M. Fennel, M. Bedolla, M. Mattina, M. Smith, M. Mallie, M. Neshewett, N. Samra, P. Kundu, P. Rubinfeld, P. Hammarlund, R. Kling, R. Powers, R. Ronen, S. Weaver, S. Bacon, S. Mukherjee, S. Jourdan, T. Lane, T. Fossum, T. Bui, V. Pentkovski, Z. Sperber	M. Mallie, H. Chamberlin	email from attorney re: disclosures	AC	email relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/10/2004	J. Draeger	J. Boulden, A. Li, A. Knies, A. Shah, B. Jordan, B. Rash, C. Dziedziak, C. Percelle, D. McCabe, D. Nicholls, D. Reynolds, E. Grochowski, E. Sprangle, E. Metzger, G. Chrysos, G. Olds, H. Wang, J. Baxter, J. Rupley, L. Mennemeier, M. Fennel, M. Bedolla, M. Mattina, M. Smith, M. Mallie, M. Neshewett, N. Samra, P. Kundu, P. Rubinfeld, P. Hammarlund, R. Kling, R. Powers, R. Ronen, S. Weaver, S. Bacon, S. Mukherjee, S. Jourdan, T. Lane, T. Fossum, T. Bui, V. Pentkovski, Z. Sperber	M. Mallie, H. Chamberlin	disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of disclosure email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
2/17/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
2/17/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
2/17/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
2/17/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	N/A	assignment re: patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
2/17/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	N/A	power of attorney for patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
2/8/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	J. Draeger	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email with attached drafts sent for review and comment
2/8/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	J. Draeger	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
2/8/2004	D. Nicholls	E. Grochowski	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for review and comment
7/26/2004	E. Grochowski	A. Shah	J. Draeger	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding review and validity of patent
7/26/2004	E. Grochowski	J. Draeger	A. Shah	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding review and validity of patent
7/23/2004	J. Draeger	E. Grochowski	A. Shah	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding review and validity of patent
7/23/2004	J. Draeger	E. Grochowski	A. Shah	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	patent sent for review and comment
4/22/2005	T. Joenks	E. Grochowski, J. Collins, J. Held, P. Kundu, T. Ngai, J. Shen, H. Wang, P. Wang	N/A	email from attorney re: assignment of patent	AC	contains attached draft of assignment and seeks review of draft
4/22/2005	T. Joenks	E. Grochowski, J. Collins, J. Held, P. Kundu, T. Ngai, J. Shen, H. Wang, P. Wang	N/A	assignment attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft
3/25/2005	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	attachments sent for review and comment

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/25/2005	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent for review and comment
3/25/2005	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski	N/A	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent for review and comment
8/5/1999	H. Wang	E. Grochowski	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/5/1999	H. Wang	E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/5/1999	H. Wang	E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/5/1999	H. Wang	E. Grochowski	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	child in same parent/child family as email and privileged attachments above
7/29/1999	E. Grochowski	L. Novakoski	V. Sharma, V. Joshi, G. Matthews, R. Kling, D. Bryant	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., and to be returned to attorney L. Novakoski, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/29/1999	E. Grochowski	L. Novakoski	V. Sharma, V. Joshi, G. Matthews, R. Kling, D. Bryant	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., and to be returned to attorney L. Novakoski, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/26/1999	G. Matthews	E. Grochowski	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., and to be returned to attorney L. Novakoski, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege						
DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/26/1999	G. Matthews	E. Grochowski	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., and to be returned to attorney L. Novakoski, relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/26/1999	V. Sharma	E. Grochowski	V. Sharma	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., and to be returned to attorney L. Novakoski, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/26/1999	V. Sharma	E. Grochowski	V. Sharma	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept., and to be returned to attorney L. Novakoski, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/30/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	
6/2/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Bouden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/4/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	attached to previous privileged disclosure to be reviewed in the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/4/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention summary attached to confidential invention disclosure bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Bouden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/4/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	attached to previous privileged disclosure to be reviewed in the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/4/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention summary attached to confidential invention disclosure bearing the same date	AC	attached to previous privileged disclosure to be reviewed in the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/14/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/9/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/24/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/24/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/2/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/18/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
2/28/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/1/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
unknown	K. Desai	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia product information	AC	attached to previous privileged disclosure to be reviewed in the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Biax Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
5/1/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/1/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/3/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/28/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/4/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/20/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/21/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/27/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Biac Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
8/15/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/24/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/25/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/19/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/1/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/3/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/1/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/1/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/17/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log Re: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
6/30/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/6/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/24/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/22/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/10/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/2/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/20/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/20/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Eliax Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/20/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/29/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/29/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/1/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/1/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
2/18/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/8/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/10/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Biac Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/1/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/19/2005	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/8/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/15/2005	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/15/2005	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/15/2005	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
unknown	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia patent application draft	AC	this is a draft of a patent application containing notes from attorney S. Bacon
unknown	Blakely Sokoloff firm	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia patent application draft	AC	draft of patent application prepared by law firm
unknown	Blakely Sokoloff firm	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia patent application draft	AC	draft of patent application prepared by law firm
unknown	S. Bacon	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia patent application draft	AC	this is a draft of a patent application prepared by attorney S. Bacon
9/19/2004	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, J. Shen, E. Grochowski, D. Orenstien, D. Sheaffer, R. Ronen, M. Annavaram	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email regarding review and comment for application drafts
9/19/2004	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, J. Shen, E. Grochowski, D. Orenstien, D. Sheaffer, R. Ronen, M. Annavaram	N/A	email re: patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached comments regarding application drafts

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
9/19/2004	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, J. Shen, E. Grochowski, D. Orenstien, D. Sheaffer, R. Ronen, M. Annavarani	N/A	email re: patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached comments regarding application drafts
9/19/2004	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, J. Shen, E. Grochowski, D. Orenstien, D. Sheaffer, R. Ronen, M. Annavarani	N/A	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached drafts sent for review and comment
9/19/2004	D. Nicholls	H. Wang, J. Shen, E. Grochowski, D. Orenstien, D. Sheaffer, R. Ronen, M. Annavarani	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft, authored by attorney S. Bacon, sent for review and comment
8/29/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/29/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/23/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/9/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/30/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
5/8/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

*Biac Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.***Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege**

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
9/11/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
11/14/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/30/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
unknown	D. Simon	E. Grochowski (custodian)	N/A	emediia invention disclosure	AC	seeking review and comment or approval from attorney R. Calderwood
5/25/1999	N. Sahgal	P. Baker, R. Calderwood, T. Huff	N/A	email to attorney re: technical disclosure	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
5/25/1999	N. Sahgal	P. Baker, R. Calderwood, T. Huff	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attachment relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for; sent for review and comment
4/10/2000	J. Draeger	Z. Ansari, T. Huff	J. Draeger	email from attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/10/2000	J. Draeger	Z. Ansari, T. Huff	J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information authored by J. Draeger sent for review and comment
4/10/2000	J. Draeger	Z. Ansari, T. Huff	J. Draeger	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
4/10/2000	J. Draeger	Z. Ansari, T. Huff	J. Draeger	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attached materials prepared by J. Draeger and relevant to his representation, sent for review and comment prior to upcoming meeting
6/21/2001	J. Draeger	D. Carnean, DPG CPU Arch Team	N/A	email from attorney re: meeting	AC	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
6/21/2001	J. Draeger	D. Carnean, DPG CPU Arch Team	N/A	powerpoint on patent training attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached materials prepared by J. Draeger and relevant to his representation, sent for review and comment prior to upcoming meeting
6/13/2001	S. Chennupaty	J. Draeger	T. Huff	email to attorney seeking review of presentation	AC	email seeking review and comment from attorney J. Draeger
6/13/2001	S. Chennupaty	J. Draeger	T. Huff	powerpoint presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
2/13/2001	J. Draeger	T. Huff	N/A	email from attorney re: patents	AC	email from attorney J. Draeger seeking review and comment about attached patents
2/13/2001	J. Draeger	T. Huff	N/A	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached patent sent for review and comment
2/13/2001	J. Draeger	T. Huff	N/A	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached patent sent for review and comment
2/20/2002	J. Draeger	T. Huff	N/A	email from attorney re: patents	AC	specific request by attorney for information relevant to representation
1/29/2002	A. Gupta	DPG CPU Arch Senior Staff	N/A	architecture patent portfolio review; forwarded from attorney	AC	information authored by Intel attorneys J. Draeger, P. Lam, L. Novakoski, and D. Nicholls containing information pertinent to their representation of Intel architects; sent to group of Intel architects by Intel employee
1/29/2002	A. Gupta	DPG CPU Arch Senior Staff	N/A	architecture patent portfolio review attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information authored by Intel attorneys J. Draeger, P. Lam, L. Novakoski, and D. Nicholls containing information pertinent to their representation of Intel architects; sent to group of Intel architects by Intel employee
7/6/2000	Z. Ansari	A. Kyker, M. Fetterman, T. Huff	J. Draeger	email that includes attorney and has invention disclosure attached	AC	email with attachments authored by Intel attorney C. Young relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/6/2000	Z. Ansari	A. Kyker, M. Fetterman, T. Huff	J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email from attorney J. Draeger seeking review and comment about attached information for
10/18/1999	J. Draeger	D. Marr, T. Huff	T. Thakkar, J. Draeger	email from attorney re: patent meeting	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
10/18/1999	J. Draeger	D. Marr, T. Huff	T. Thakkar, J. Draeger	report attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
10/18/1999	J. Draeger	D. Marr, T. Huff	T. Thakkar, J. Draeger	report attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
10/18/1999	J. Draeger	D. Marr, T. Huff	T. Thakkar, J. Draeger	report attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
10/18/1999	J. Draeger	D. Marr, T. Huff	T. Thakkar, J. Draeger	report attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information sent for review and comment
10/14/1999	N. Lemberg	D. Marr, T. Huff, T. Thakkar	M. Meyer, N. Lemberg	email re: patent filings with attorney involved earlier in chain	AC	discussion related to responding to request for information by Intel attorney J. Draeger earlier in email responding to request of Intel attorney J. Draeger
10/8/1999	T. Thakkar	T. Huff	M. Meyer, N. Lemberg, D. Marr	email re: patent filings with attorney involved earlier in chain	AC	
10/8/1999	T. Thakkar	J. Draeger, N. Sahgal, T. Huff, D. Marr, G. Chen, K. Balasubramanian, M. Philipot, G. Drysdale, P. Baker, H. Klemperer	T. Huff, D. Marr	email to attorney re: patent filings	AC	
8/20/1999	R. Calderwood		N/A	email from attorney re: product descriptions	AC	attorney advice on descriptions, taking into account various legal issues
7/12/2004	D. Dauphinais	A. Knies, K. Rudd	C. Kosh	email from legal assistant re: patent application	AC	email contains attached drafts sent for comment and review
7/12/2004	D. Dauphinais	A. Knies, K. Rudd	C. Kosh	assignment relating to patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft sent for comment and review
7/12/2004	D. Dauphinais	A. Knies, K. Rudd	C. Kosh	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft sent for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
4/9/2003	D. Morris	D. Solts, A. Knies, A. Santoni, R. Zahir, D. Mulla	D. Morris, C. Anderson, L. Novakoski	email involving attorneys re: draft of patent application	AC	email contains attached draft, authored by attorney C. Anderson, sent for comment and review
4/9/2003	D. Morris	D. Solts, A. Knies, A. Santoni, R. Zahir, D. Mulla	D. Morris, C. Anderson, L. Novakoski	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft, authored by attorney C. Anderson, of patent application sent for comment and review
3/18/2003	D. Morris	D. Solts, A. Knies, A. Santoni, R. Zahir, D. Mulla	D. Morris, C. Anderson, L. Novakoski	email involving attorneys re: draft of patent application	AC	email contains request for comment and review by attorney C. Anderson
3/18/2003	D. Morris	D. Solts, A. Knies, A. Santoni, R. Zahir, D. Mulla	D. Morris, C. Anderson, L. Novakoski	email re: patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached information sent for comment and review
3/7/2003	D. Morris	D. Solts, A. Knies, A. Santoni, R. Zahir, D. Mulla	D. Morris, C. Anderson, L. Novakoski	email involving attorneys re: draft of patent application	AC	email contains request for comment and review by attorney C. Anderson
11/18/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies	K. Rudd, R. Zahir	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email from attorney L. Novakoski seeking review and comment about attached drafts
11/18/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies	K. Rudd, R. Zahir	assignment relating to patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/18/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies	K. Rudd, R. Zahir	power of attorney relating to patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of power of attorney sent for comment and review
11/18/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies	K. Rudd, R. Zahir	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/18/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies	K. Rudd, R. Zahir	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent diagrams sent for comment and review
11/18/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies	K. Rudd, R. Zahir	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email from attorney L. Novakoski seeking review and comment about attached drafts
11/29/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies, K. Rudd, R. Zahir, D. Morris, J. Ross	D. Plethner	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	attached draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/29/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies, K. Rudd, R. Zahir, D. Morris, J. Ross	D. Plethner	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/29/2002	L. Novakoski	A. Knies, K. Rudd, R. Zahir, D. Morris, J. Ross	D. Plethner	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent diagrams sent for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/10/2002	A. Knies	D. Morris, L. Novakoski, R. Zahir, A. Knies, K. Rudd, J. Ross	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email to attorney L. Novakoski commenting on attached drafts
10/10/2002	A. Knies	D. Morris, L. Novakoski, R. Zahir, A. Knies, K. Rudd, J. Ross	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of patent application sent for comment and review
8/14/2002	K. Shoemaker	Invention Disclosure Submission	A. Knies	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email to group which includes Intel Legal Dept. with attachment relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/14/2002	K. Shoemaker	Invention Disclosure Submission	A. Knies	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authoring by Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/13/2002	K. Rudd	K. Rudd	J. Hull, A. Knies, D. Morris	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/13/2002	K. Rudd	K. Rudd	J. Hull, A. Knies, D. Morris	signature attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
8/13/2002	K. Rudd	K. Rudd	J. Hull, A. Knies, D. Morris	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/19/2002	H. Han	patent database group	A. Knies, H. Han	email to group that includes attorneys re: patent applications	AC	sent to group including Intel Legal Dept. relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/19/2002	H. Han	patent database group	A. Knies, H. Han	patent information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	relating to attorney-client attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
2/1/2002	R. Zahir	D. Morris, L. Novakoski	R. Zahir, K. Rudd, A. Knies, J. Ross	email to attorney re: invention disclosure	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of invention disclosure

Biax Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
2/1/2002	R. Zahr	D. Morris, L. Novakoski	R. Zahr, K. Rudd, A. Knies, J. Ross	email re: invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of invention disclosure
2/1/2002	D. Morris	L. Novakoski	R. Zahr, K. Rudd, A. Knies, J. Ross	email to attorney re: invention disclosure email re: invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of invention disclosure
2/1/2002	D. Morris	L. Novakoski	R. Zahr, K. Rudd, A. Knies, J. Ross	diagrams related to invention attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached back-and-forth discussion regarding drafting of invention disclosure
2/1/2002	D. Morris	L. Novakoski	R. Zahr, K. Rudd, A. Knies, J. Ross	preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached diagrams regarding drafting of invention disclosure email with attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/15/2002	A. Knies	A. Knies	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/15/2002	A. Knies	A. Knies	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/15/2002	A. Knies	A. Knies	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email with attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/15/2002	A. Knies	A. Knies	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/8/2002	H. Han	patent database group	A. Knies	email with attorney involved in chain and attached invention disclosure	AC	patent database group includes attorneys; email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
1/8/2002	H. Han	patent database group	A. Knies	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	patent database group includes attorneys; authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/8/2002	Intel Patent Database group	A. Knies	N/A	email with attorney involved in chain re: invention disclosure	AC	patent database group includes attorneys; email relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/18/2001	A. Knies	K. Shoemaker	H. Han, A. Knies	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/18/2001	A. Knies	K. Shoemaker	H. Han, A. Knies	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/21/2001	D. Morris	K. Rudd	A. Knies	email regarding invention disclosure reflecting confidential attorney-client communications	AC	regarding advice of attorney D. Plethner
10/11/2001	K. Rudd	D. Morris	A. Knies	email regarding attached invention disclosure reflecting confidential attorney-client communications	AC	email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/11/2001	K. Rudd	D. Morris	A. Knies	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/5/2001	A. Knies	K. Shoemaker	K. Rudd	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/5/2001	A. Knies	K. Shoemaker	K. Rudd	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/9/2001	H. Wang	G. Vedaraman	A. Knies	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by C. Young, an Intel attorney relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/9/2001	H. Wang	G. Vedaraman	A. Knies	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email contains attachment authored by C. Young, an Intel attorney relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/13/2000	H. Wang	M. Juliet, I. Esner, Y. Lee, A. Knies	H. Mulder, R. Kling	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by C. Young, an Intel attorney relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
9/13/2000	H. Wang	M. Juliet, I. Esner, Y. Lee, A. Knies	H. Mulder, R. Kling	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/1/2001	H. Wang	A. Knies	G. Vedaraman	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	authorized by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
10/1/2001	H. Wang	A. Knies	G. Vedaraman	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	distributed from one Intel author to other Intel authors of same paper to implement Intel attorney D. Simon's advice
1/19/2000	R. Ronen	A. Mendelson, S. Lu, F. Pollack, K. Lai	N/A	email with attorney involved in chain re: review of technical paper	AC	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
1/19/2000	R. Ronen	A. Mendelson, S. Lu, F. Pollack, K. Lai	N/A	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	distributed from one Intel author to other Intel authors of same paper to implement Intel attorney D. Simon's advice
6/18/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	S. Srinivasan, K. Lai, J. Shen	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email to group which includes Intel Legal Dept.; contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/18/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	S. Srinivasan, K. Lai, J. Shen	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/18/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	S. Srinivasan, K. Lai, J. Shen	invention information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/18/2002	S. Srinivasan	K. Lai	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/18/2002	S. Srinivasan	K. Lai	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/18/2002	S. Srinivasan	K. Lai	N/A	invention information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
4/26/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	J. Shen, K. Lai	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email to group which includes Intel Legal Dept.; contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/26/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	J. Shen, K. Lai	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/26/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	J. Shen, K. Lai	invention information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/22/2002	G. Singh	E. Metzger, K. Lai, M. Rhodehamel	G. Singh	email to attorney re: patent	AC	response to request from attorney for advice on specific information regarding patent
4/17/2002	S. Srinivasan	K. Lai	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/17/2002	S. Srinivasan	K. Lai	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
4/17/2002	S. Srinivasan	K. Lai	N/A	invention information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
3/27/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email to group which includes Intel Legal Dept.; contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/27/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	N/A	invention information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/27/2002	K. Lai	Invention Disclosure Submission	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	email with attached information sent for comment and review
1/29/2002	K. Jenckes	K. Lai	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application invention information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information sent for comment and review
1/29/2002	K. Jenckes	K. Lai	N/A	email re: patent; with attorney involved in chain	AC	attorney's specific request for information related to invention forwarded to one inventor from another inventor in order to answer request
1/21/2002	C. Wilkerson	K. Lai	N/A	email from attorney re: patent	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding interest in patent
4/11/2001	J. Draeger	K. Lai	N/A	email to attorney re: patent	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding interest in patent
4/11/2001	K. Lai	J. Draeger	N/A	email to attorney re: patent	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding interest in patent
4/10/2001	K. Lai	J. Draeger	N/A	email from attorney re: patent	AC	back-and-forth discussion regarding interest in patent
4/10/2001	J. Draeger	K. Lai	N/A	patent attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached patent sent for review and comment
6/23/2000	S. Lai	P. Churilla, K. Lai	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	with attached draft of application sent for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
6/23/2000	S. Lu	P. Churilla, K. Lai	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
6/1/2001	P. Churilla	S. Lu, K. Lai	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	with attached draft of application sent for comment and review
6/1/2001	P. Churilla	S. Lu, K. Lai	N/A	same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
3/29/2004	S. Kottapalli	K. Lai, R. Rajwar, S. Srinivasan	J. Crawford	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
3/29/2004	S. Kottapalli	K. Lai, R. Rajwar, S. Srinivasan	J. Crawford	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by J. Boulder, an Intel paralegal, relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	email from attorney re: patent applications	AC	with attached drafts sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaty, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review

[illegible]

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review
12/13/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, S. Kaushik, J. Crossland, D. Hill, D. Koufaly, D. Rogers	C. Willesen	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application diagrams sent for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

[illegible]

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
12/11/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
12/11/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/14/2001	D. Koufaty	A. Polaski, D. Marr	F. Binns	email to attorney re: review of technical paper	AC	back-and-forth discussion seeking attorney advice regarding draft of paper
11/14/2001	A. Polaski	D. Koulaty, D. Marr	F. Binns	email from attorney re: review of technical paper	AC	email seeking review and comment on draft of paper from attorney A. Polaski
11/14/2001	A. Polaski	D. Koufaty, D. Marr	F. Binns	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of paper sent for comment and review
11/14/2001	A. Polaski	D. Koufaty, D. Marr	F. Binns	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of paper sent for comment and review
11/13/2001	D. Koufaty	A. Polaski, D. Marr	F. Binns	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of paper sent for comment and review
11/13/2001	D. Koufaty	A. Polaski, D. Marr	F. Binns	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of paper sent for comment and review
11/7/2001	A. Polaski	D. Marr	N/A	email from attorney re: review of technical paper	AC	back-and-forth discussion seeking attorney advice regarding draft of paper
11/5/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushtik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	email from attorney re: patent applications	AC	with attached drafts sent for comment and review
11/5/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushtik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/5/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushtik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/5/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushtik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
11/5/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushtik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/5/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
10/30/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	email from attorney re: patent applications	AC	with attached drafts sent for comment and review
10/30/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
10/30/2001	J. Draeger	S. Kaushik, D. Marr, D. Hill, J. Crossland, D. Rogers	J. Draeger	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application sent for comment and review
7/16/2001	S. Olschewsky	C. Williams	D. Marr, J. Draeger	email chain including attorney re: invention disclosure	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2001	S. Olschewsky	C. Williams	D. Marr, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2001	S. Olschewsky	C. Williams	D. Marr, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr	N/A	email from attorney re: patent applications	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, C. Williams	N/A	email from attorney re: invention disclosures	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/16/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, C. Williams	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/16/2001	J. Draeger	D. Marr, C. Williams	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	email chain including attorney re: invention disclosure	AC	contains attachment authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
7/13/2001	C. Williams	D. Marr	J. Boulden, J. Draeger	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/27/2001	K. Abela-Gale	D. Rogers, A. Miller, S. Jourdan, F. McKeen, D. Boggs, M. Upton, D. Carnean, P. Hammarlund, R. Greiner, G. Espinosa, P. Roussel, M. Chowdhury, D. Sager, D. Papworth, R. Singhal, M. Fetterman, D. Hill, E. Sprangle, D. Marr, B. Toll, C. Yunker, S. Weiss, M. Cranford, S. Tetrick	J. Draeger	email sent on behalf of attorney re: disclosures	AC	sent on behalf of attorney for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
6/27/2001	K. Abela-Gale	D. Rogers, A. Miller, S. Jourdan, F. McKeen, D. Boggs, M. Upton, D. Carnean, P. Hannanlund, R. Greiner, G. Espinosa, P. Roussel, M. Chowdhury, D. Sager, D. Papworth, R. Singhal, M. Fetterman, D. Hill, E. Sprangle, D. Marr, B. Toll, C. Yunker, S. Weiss, M. Cranford, S. Tetric	J. Draeger	information re: disclosures attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by attorney J. Draeger, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for, and sent for comment and review
6/27/2001	K. Abela-Gale	D. Rogers, A. Miller, S. Jourdan, F. McKeen, D. Boggs, M. Upton, D. Carnean, P. Hannanlund, R. Greiner, G. Espinosa, P. Roussel, M. Chowdhury, D. Sager, D. Papworth, R. Singhal, M. Fetterman, D. Hill, E. Sprangle, D. Marr, B. Toll, C. Yunker, S. Weiss, M. Cranford, S. Tetric	J. Draeger	information re: disclosures attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by attorney J. Draeger, relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for, and sent for comment and review
1/29/2002	A. Gupta	DPG CPU Arch Senior Staff	N/A	email forwarded from attorney re: architecture portfolio	AC	contains information authored by Intel attorneys J. Draeger, P. Lam, L. Novakoski, and D. Nicholls containing information pertinent to their representation of Intel architects; sent to group of Intel architects by Intel employee information authored by Intel attorneys J. Draeger, P. Lam, L. Novakoski, and D. Nicholls containing information pertinent to their representation of Intel architects; sent to group of Intel architects by Intel employee
1/29/2002	A. Gupta	DPG CPU Arch Senior Staff	N/A	architecture portfolio attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	contains information authored by Intel attorneys J. Draeger, P. Lam, L. Novakoski, and D. Nicholls containing information pertinent to their representation of Intel architects; sent to group of Intel architects by Intel employee

Fourth Supplemental Log Re: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege						
DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
1/29/2002	A. Gupta	DPG CPU Arch Senior Staff	N/A	architecture portfolio attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information authored by Intel attorneys J. Draeger, P. Lam, L. Novakoski, and D. Nicholls containing information pertinent to their representation of Intel architects; sent to group of Intel architects by Intel employee
1/17/2002	L. Chao	D. Marr	N/A	email with attorney involved in chain re: approval of technical paper	AC	email chain involving group that includes attorney A. Polaski seeking review and comment on draft of paper
1/17/2002	L. Chao	D. Marr	N/A	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft sent for review and comment
1/17/2002	L. Chao	D. Marr	N/A	technical paper attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft sent for review and comment
8/26/2002	R. Cross	D. Bullitt, B. Sheets, D. Marr, B. Adams, J. Malone	N/A	email chain including attorney re: product architecture	AC	back-and-forth discussion seeking comment and advice from large group, including Intel Legal Dept., regarding draft of product-related information
8/26/2002	R. Cross	D. Bullitt, B. Sheets, D. Marr, B. Adams, J. Malone	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of product-related information
8/26/2002	R. Cross	D. Bullitt, B. Sheets, D. Marr, B. Adams, J. Malone	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft of product-related information
11/1/1999	L. Hacking	J. Draeger	T. Huff, D. Marr	email to attorney re: invention disclosure	AC	discussion between inventors regarding answering specific request by attorney to inventors for information relevant to representation
10/14/1999	N. Lumburg	T. Thakkar, T. Huff, D. Marr	M. Meyer, N. Lumburg	email chain involving attorney re: patents	AC	discussion between inventors regarding answering specific request by attorney to inventors for information relevant to representation
10/8/1999	T. Huff	T. Thakkar	M. Meyer, N. Lumburg, D. Marr	email chain involving attorney re: patents	AC	discussion between inventors regarding answering specific request by attorney to inventors for information relevant to representation

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/8/1999	T. Thakkar	T. Huff	M. Meyer, N. Lemburg, D. Marr	email chain involving attorney re: patents	AC	discussion between inventors regarding answering specific request by attorney to inventors for information relevant to representation
10/8/1999	T. Huff	T. Thakkar, J. Draeger	D. Marr, M. Meyer	email chain involving attorney re: patents	AC	discussion regarding answering specific request by attorney to inventors for information relevant to representation
10/8/1999	T. Thakkar	J. Draeger	T. Huff, D. Marr	email chain involving attorney re: patents	AC	discussion regarding answering specific request by attorney to inventors for information relevant to representation
10/8/1999	J. Draeger	M. Rhodelamel, D. Sager, P. Rousset, T. Fletcher, M. Upton, D. Carmean, R. Borkar, B. Lince, A. Kyker, G. Brown, D. Marr, D. Boggs, J. Brayton, T. Thakkar, A. Gupta, D. Feltham, D. Rogers, D. A. Hill, D. R. Hill, T. Burton, G. Hinton	J. Draeger	email from attorney re: patent filings	AC	specific request by attorney to inventors for information relevant to representation
10/20/2003	D. Marr	P. Bray	E. Robinson	email to attorney re: slide show presentation	AC	response to request from attorney for specific information regarding representation
10/20/2003	D. Marr	P. Bray	E. Robinson	email to attorney re: slide show presentation	AC	response to request from attorney for specific information regarding representation
10/20/2003	D. Marr	E. De Vera	N/A	email to attorney re: slide show presentation	AC	response to request from attorney for specific information regarding representation
8/5/2002	D. Marr	A. Polaski	N/A	slide show presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft sent for advice and comment or approval
8/5/2002	D. Marr	A. Polaski	N/A	email to attorney re: legal approval of presentation	AC	seeking advice and comment or approval of attached draft
11/13/2001	D. Marr	A. Polaski	F. Binns, D. Koufaly	presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft sent for advice and comment or approval
11/13/2001	D. Marr	A. Polaski	F. Binns, D. Koufaly	presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attached draft sent for advice and comment or approval

Biax Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
2/6/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	D. Marr (custodian)	N/A	emedia invention disclosure	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
unknown	J. Draeger	D. Marr (custodian)	N/A	emedia patent application draft	AC	draft of patent application authored by attorney email contains attachment authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/2/2003	S. Kottapalli	W. Witt, S. Nadkarni	N/A	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	authored by J. Boulden, an Intel paralegal, relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/2/2003	S. Kottapalli	W. Witt, S. Nadkarni	N/A	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	paralegal, relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
12/15/2004	S. Kottapalli	T. Lane, S. Nadkarni, T. Wang	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	involves attorney T. Lane; contains attached draft of application sent for comment and review
12/15/2004	S. Kottapalli	T. Lane, S. Nadkarni, T. Wang	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
12/8/2004	T. Lane	S. Kottapalli, S. Nadkarni, T. Wang	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	involves attorney T. Lane; contains attached draft of application sent for comment and review
12/8/2004	T. Lane	S. Kottapalli, S. Nadkarni, T. Wang	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review

Blax Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/3/2000	M. McCain	B. Bigbee, D. Bose, J. Brown, E. Campbell, I. Chen, E. Chipizhko, S. Coleman, S. Dimayuga, C. Dulong, S. Erlich, R. Evans, L. Fisher, E. Garbus, S. Goossen, S. Heckenberg, R. Henkhaus, D. Kuck, R. Mazzella, L. McCuddy, S. Nahum, A. Odinkov, K. Pocek, M. Ribble, T. Robinson, W. Savage, F. Sim, K. Smith, O. Sutin, W. Wen-Hann, R. Wirt, K. Yedlin, W. Yu, J. Zhu	N/A	email with attorney in chain re: trademarks	AC	advice of Intel attorney S. Schwartz regarding trademark passed on to other Intel employees to implement advice, along with attachments prepared by Intel Legal Dept.
10/3/2000	M. McCain	B. Bigbee, D. Bose, J. Brown, E. Campbell, I. Chen, E. Chipizhko, S. Coleman, S. Dimayuga, C. Dulong, S. Erlich, R. Evans, L. Fisher, E. Garbus, S. Goossen, S. Heckenberg, R. Henkhaus, D. Kuck, R. Mazzella, L. McCuddy, S. Nahum, A. Odinkov, K. Pocek, M. Ribble, T. Robinson, W. Savage, F. Sim, K. Smith, O. Sutin, W. Wen-Hann, R. Wirt, K. Yedlin, W. Yu, J. Zhu	N/A	trademark information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment prepared by Intel Legal Dept.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/3/2000	M. McCain	B. Bigbee, D. Bose, J. Brown, E. Campbell, I. Chen, E. Chipchicko, S. Coleman, S. Dimayuga, C. Dulong, S. Eulich, R. Evans, L. Fisher, E. Garbus, S. Goossen, S. Heckenberg, R. Henkhaus, D. Kuck, R. Mazzella, L. McCuddy, S. Nahum, A. Odnokov, K. Pocock, M. Ribble, T. Robinson, W. Savage, F. Sim, K. Smith, O. Sutin, W. Wen-Hann, R. Witt, K. Yedlin, W. Yu, J. Zhu	N/A	trademark information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attachment prepared by Intel attorney S. Schwartz
7/19/1990	C. Silverman	G. Walpert	A. Grove, W. Iseman; E. Taylor	letter from attorney re: patent evaluation with attorney handwriting regarding letter	AC	
9/21/1990	C. Silverman	G. Walpert	N/A	letter from attorney re: patent evaluation with attorney handwriting regarding letter	AC	
12/18/1997	M. Watanabe	J. Siebach, C. Silverman, A. Suzuki	M. Mallie	legal inquiry regarding Matsushita communication	AC	legal inquiry regarding Matsushita communication
2/24/2005	D. DeVos	G. Hinton	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	email in which attorney D. DeVos seeks information relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/31/2003	J. Draeger	D. Carmean, A. Gupta, J. Ratner, K. Welch, G. Hinton, A. Polaski, M. Smith	S. Borkar, R. Krishnamurthy, L. Mennemeier, J. Draeger	email from attorney re: patent applications	AC	information relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/31/2004	J. Draeger	D. Carmean, A. Gupta, J. Ratner, K. Welch, G. Hinton, A. Polaski, M. Smith	S. Borkar, R. Krishnamurthy, L. Mennemeier, J. Draeger	information related to patent applications attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	(correct year is 2003) attached information sent for comment and review
1/31/2004	J. Draeger	D. Carmean, A. Gupta, J. Ratner, K. Welch, G. Hinton, A. Polaski, M. Smith	S. Borkar, R. Krishnamurthy, L. Mennemeier, J. Draeger	information related to patent applications attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	(correct year is 2003) attached information sent for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
6/6/2003	E. Sprangle	G. Hinton, J. Crawford, S. Kottapalli	D. Carnean	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	email contains attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept., relating to the process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/6/2003	E. Sprangle	G. Hinton, J. Crawford, S. Kottapalli	D. Carnean	product architecture information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child relationship as attached documents authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
6/6/2003	E. Sprangle	G. Hinton, J. Crawford, S. Kottapalli	D. Carnean	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored Intel Legal Dept.; relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
unknown	G. Hinton (custodian)	N/A	N/A	notes related to deposition	AC/WP	notes taken from meeting with Intel attorney D. Healey regarding upcoming deposition of G. Hinton
2/20/2005	D. Tuhy	N/A	N/A	power point presentation containing and reflecting confidential attorney-client communication.	AC	contains advice attributed to Steptoe & Johnson law firm
2/20/2005	D. Tuhy	N/A	N/A	power point presentation attached to original presentation containing and reflecting confidential attorney-client communication.	AC	coauthored by Intel attorney B. Sewell; regarding future business strategies
unknown	D. Tuhy	N/A	N/A	power point presentation containing and reflecting confidential attorney-client communications	AC	contains performance estimates created by attorneys as part of representation
unknown	D. Tuhy	N/A	N/A	power point presentation containing and reflecting confidential attorney-client communications	AC	contains performance estimates created by attorneys as part of representation

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/20/2003	M. McCargar	L. Wickstrom, N. Biswal, G. Chen, A. Chou, S. Chu, J. Cole, M. Ghazizadeh, A. Go, G. Hayek, A. Jarrar, B. Johnson, C. Johnson, K. Kaneshiro, K. Krueger, S. Kudva, S. Kuo, P. Lang, M. LaTondre, F. Martins, R. Reese, T. Reneau, D. Rompel, N. Saghal, K. Shah, N. Smith, P. Smith, D. Stasaitis, D. Swanson, J. Thompson, R. Trinh, D. Tully, B. Venbu, S. Vora, B. Wager	N/A	email with attached privileged architecture information	AC	advice of group that includes Intel Legal Dept. passed on to other Intel employees to implement advice, along with attachments prepared by same group
11/20/2003	M. McCargar	L. Wickstrom, N. Biswal, G. Chen, A. Chou, S. Chu, J. Cole, M. Ghazizadeh, A. Go, G. Hayek, A. Jarrar, B. Johnson, C. Johnson, K. Kaneshiro, K. Krueger, S. Kudva, S. Kuo, P. Lang, M. LaTondre, F. Martins, R. Reese, T. Reneau, D. Rompel, N. Saghal, K. Shah, N. Smith, P. Smith, D. Stasaitis, D. Swanson, J. Thompson, R. Trinh, D. Tully, B. Venbu, S. Vora, B. Wager	N/A	privileged architecture information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	advice of group that includes Intel Legal Dept. passed on to other Intel employees to implement advice, along with attachments prepared by same group

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/20/2003	M. McCargar	L. Wickstrom, N. Biswal, G. Chen, A. Chou, S. Chu, J. Cole, M. Ghazizadeh, A. Co, G. Hayek, A. Jarrar, B. Johnson, C. Johnson, K. Kaneshiro, K. Krueger, S. Kudva, S. Kuo, P. Lang, M. LaTondre, F. Martins, R. Reese, T. Reneau, D. Rompel, N. Saghal, K. Shah, N. Smith, P. Smith, D. Stasaitis, D. Swanson, J. Thompson, R. Trinh, D. Turby, B. Vembu, S. Vora, B. Wager	N/A	privileged architecture information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	inadvertent duplicate entry; is only one attachment
11/23/1999	S. Johnson	C. Walker	N/A	email containing and relaying information from Intel Legal Dept. with attached roadmaps	AC	passes on information created by group that includes Intel Legal Dept. to Intel employee, information relates to roadmaps
11/23/1999	S. Johnson	C. Walker	N/A	roadmap power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information created by group that includes Intel Legal Dept.
11/23/1999	S. Johnson	C. Walker	N/A	roadmap power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information created by group that includes Intel Legal Dept.
11/23/1999	S. Johnson	C. Walker	N/A	roadmap power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information created by group that includes Intel Legal Dept.
7/17/2002	R. Klassen	K. Kressin	N/A	email from attorney re: transmission of information to vendors	AC	Klassen is an Intel attorney; reflecting advice and comment related to representation
7/12/2002	M. Tietelbaum	A. Polaski, A. Snodgrass, K. Kressin, B. Snodgrass	N/A	email to attorney re: transmission of information to vendors	AC	
5/21/2002	K. Kressin	A. Polaski, M. Tietelbaum	B. Leszinske	email to attorney re: transmission of information to vendors	AC	sent with request for review and advice regarding attachment
5/21/2002	K. Kresin	A. Polaski, M. Tietelbaum	B. Leszinske	slide on transmission of information to vendors attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent with request for review and advice
5/20/2002	M. Tietelbaum	K. Kressin	N/A	email with attorney involved in earlier chain re: product architecture	AC	responding to request of Intel attorney J. Draeger

Fourth Supplemental Log Re: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
12/27/2002	M. Tietelbaum	B. Leszinske, D. Wilson, A. Polaski, K. Kressin, K. Welch, C. Mulloy	N/A	email to attorney re: product licensing	AC	back-and-forth discussion with group that includes attorney containing comment and advice regarding licensing
12/27/2002	B. Leszinske	D. Wilson, A. Polaski, K. Kressin, M. Tietelbaum, K. Welch, C. Mulloy	N/A	email to attorney re: product licensing	AC	back-and-forth discussion with group that includes attorney containing comment and advice regarding licensing
5/31/2002	A. Polaski	B. Leszinske, K. Kressin, K. Welch, M. Tietelbaum, J. McCrea	N/A	email from attorney re: product licensing	AC	back-and-forth discussion with group that includes attorney containing comment and advice regarding licensing
5/30/2002	B. Leszinske	K. Kressin, A. Polaski, M. Tietelbaum, J. McCrea	N/A	email to attorney re: product licensing	AC	email seeking review and comment on changes to draft of licensing information slides
5/30/2002	B. Leszinske	K. Kressin, A. Polaski, M. Tietelbaum, J. McCrea	N/A	product licensing information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information authored by group that includes Intel attorney
5/30/2002	B. Leszinske	K. Kressin, A. Polaski, M. Tietelbaum, J. McCrea	N/A	product licensing information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information authored by group that includes Intel attorney
5/30/2002	B. Leszinske	K. Kressin, A. Polaski, M. Tietelbaum, J. McCrea	N/A	product licensing information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information authored by group that includes Intel attorney
6/28/2002	A. D'Orlando	A. Polaski, B. Leszinske, D. Conant, S. Carr, K. Kressin, M. Kister, K. Elamrawi, G. Alis	M. Madison, K. Welch	email to attorney re: product licensing	AC	back-and-forth discussion with group that includes attorney containing comment and advice regarding licensing
7/10/2002	J. Wensel	K. Kressin, B. Davis, M. Kister	N/A	email with attorney involved earlier in chain re: product licensing	AC	passes on draft of information in process of being analyzed by group that includes Intel attorney A. Polaski
7/10/2002	J. Wensel	K. Kressin, B. Davis, M. Kister	N/A	information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	bad image
7/10/2002	J. Wensel	K. Kressin, B. Davis, M. Kister	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information in process of being analyzed by group that includes Intel attorney A. Polaski
7/9/2002	M. Kister	K. Kressin, M. Tietelbaum, B. Leszinske	N/A	email with attorney involved earlier in chain re: product licensing	AC	passes on draft of information in process of being analyzed by group that includes Intel attorney A. Polaski to Intel employees

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/9/2002	M. Kister	K. Kressin, M. Tietelbaum, B. Leszinske	N/A	information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	bad image
7/9/2002	M. Kister	K. Kressin, M. Tietelbaum, B. Leszinske	N/A	product information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information in process of being analyzed by group that includes Intel attorney A. Polaski
4/15/2002	B. Kirby	B. Siu, L. Burns, S. Smith, K. Krause, K. Kressin, T. Wynn, N. Krabbe	N/A	email with attached power point presentation co-authored by attorney A. Leahy	AC	passes on attorney-client information analyzed by group that includes Intel Legal Dept. to Intel employees
4/15/2002	B. Kirby	Krause, K. Kressin, T. Wynn, N. Krabbe	N/A	information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	bad image
4/15/2002	B. Kirby	B. Siu, L. Burns, S. Smith, K. Krause, K. Kressin, T. Wynn, N. Krabbe	N/A	power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attorney-client information authored by group that includes Intel Legal Dept.
4/19/2002	B. Kirby	B. Siu	J. Yasso, T. Wynn, R. Story, K. Krause, K. Kressin, N. Krabbe	email with attached powerpoint presentation containing legal advice	AC	passes on attorney-client information authored and analyzed by group that includes Intel Legal Dept. to Intel employees
4/19/2002	B. Kirby	B. Siu	J. Yasso, T. Wynn, R. Story, K. Krause, K. Kressin, N. Krabbe	information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	bad image
4/19/2002	B. Kirby	B. Siu	J. Yasso, T. Wynn, R. Story, K. Krause, K. Kressin, N. Krabbe	power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information analyzed by group that includes Intel Legal Dept.; is in same parent/child family as attorney-client information below
4/19/2002	B. Kirby	B. Siu	J. Yasso, T. Wynn, R. Story, K. Krause, K. Kressin, N. Krabbe	power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attorney-client information analyzed by group that includes Intel Legal Dept. to Intel employees
4/19/2002	B. Kirby	B. Siu	J. Yasso, T. Wynn, R. Story, K. Krause, K. Kressin, N. Krabbe	executive briefing materials attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	attorney-client information analyzed by group that includes Intel Legal Dept. to Intel employees
2/25/2002	R. Klassen	K. Kressin, K. Krause, B. Leszinske	T. MacDonald	email from attorney re: review of presentation	AC	contains attached draft with attorney's advice and comments on draft
2/25/2002	R. Klassen	K. Kressin, K. Krause, B. Leszinske	T. MacDonald	information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	bad image

Fourth Supplemental Log Re: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
2/25/2002	R. Klassen	K. Kressin, K. Krause, B. Leszinske	T. MacDonald	roadmap presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review contains attached draft with request for attorney's advice and comments on draft
2/25/2002	K. Kressin	K. Krause, B. Leszinske, R. Klassen	T. MacDonald	email to attorney re: review of presentation	AC	
2/25/2002	K. Kressin	K. Krause, B. Leszinske, R. Klassen	T. MacDonald	information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	had image
2/25/2002	K. Kressin	K. Krause, B. Leszinske, R. Klassen	T. MacDonald	roadmap presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	sent for comment and review
unknown	Intel Legal Dept	K. Kressin (custodian)	N/A	media slide of legal implications re: product licensing	AC	
3/10/2004	J. Burns	S. Kottapalli, K. Shoemaker	N/A	email with attached draft of patent application	AC	responding to request for information from Intel attorney and contains drafts of patent application prepared by attorneys
3/10/2004	J. Burns	S. Kottapalli, K. Shoemaker	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent application prepared by attorneys
3/10/2004	J. Burns	S. Kottapalli, K. Shoemaker	N/A	patent diagrams attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of patent diagrams prepared by attorneys
3/10/2004	J. Burns	S. Kottapalli, K. Shoemaker	N/A	patent filing form attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	in same parent/child family as privileged information
1/28/2004	G. Hammond	J. Pickett, J. Crawford, E. Grochowski, K. Shoemaker	B. Black	email with attached invention disclosures	AC	email with attachment authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/28/2004	G. Hammond	J. Pickett, J. Crawford, E. Grochowski, K. Shoemaker	B. Black	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for
1/28/2004	G. Hammond	J. Pickett, J. Crawford, E. Grochowski, K. Shoemaker	B. Black	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	authored by Intel Legal Dept. relating to the attorney-client process of determining which inventions to seek patents for

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)
-------------	------------------	---------------------

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
1/25/1999	K. Kolady	D. Simon	H. Dhulla, G. Doshi, S. Skedzielewski, S. Schanna, S. Prasad	email to attorney re: review of power point presentation	AC	draft of presentation sent to attorney for advice and approval
1/25/1999	K. Kolady	D. Simon	H. Dhulla, G. Doshi, S. Skedzielewski, S. Schanna, S. Prasad	power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of presentation sent to attorney for advice and approval
1/25/1999	K. Kolady	D. Simon	H. Dhulla, G. Doshi, S. Skedzielewski, S. Schanna, S. Prasad	power point presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of presentation sent to attorney for advice and approval
1/13/1999	S. Prasad	J. Soukey, J. Harper	J. Crawford, G. Doshi, K. Shearman	email intended for group that included attorney re: architecture performance analysis	AC	information intended for attorney D. Simon regarding request for attorney review of changes to drafts of attached information
1/13/1999	S. Prasad	J. Soukey, J. Harper	J. Crawford, G. Doshi, K. Shearman	performance analysis attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information intended for attorney D. Simon regarding request for attorney review of changes to drafts of attached information
1/13/1999	S. Prasad	J. Soukey, J. Harper	J. Crawford, G. Doshi, K. Shearman	performance analysis attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information intended for attorney D. Simon regarding request for attorney review of changes to drafts of attached information
1/13/1999	S. Prasad	J. Soukey, J. Harper	J. Crawford, G. Doshi, K. Shearman	performance analysis attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	information intended for attorney D. Simon regarding request for attorney review of changes to drafts of attached information
1/7/1999	G. Doshi	S. Prasad, G. Benedicty, D. Simon, J. Harper, K. Shearman, R. Curry	M. Rodriguez	email to attorney with attached performance reviews	AC	draft of information sent to group that includes attorney; sent for advice and approval
1/7/1999	G. Doshi	S. Prasad, G. Benedicty, D. Simon, J. Harper, K. Shearman, R. Curry	M. Rodriguez	performance analysis attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of information sent to group that includes attorney; sent for advice and approval
1/7/1999	G. Doshi	S. Prasad, G. Benedicty, D. Simon, J. Harper, K. Shearman, R. Curry	M. Rodriguez	performance analysis attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft of information sent to group that includes attorney; sent for advice and approval

[illegible]

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/1/2002	J. Ward	G. Doshi	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
7/1/2002	J. Ward	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
7/1/2002	J. Ward	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
7/1/2002	J. Ward	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
7/1/2002	J. Ward	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
7/1/2002	J. Ward	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/6/2001	G. Doshi	A. Roide, A. Valles, D. Kulkarni	J. Ng, J. Crawford	email with attached invention disclosure	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/6/2001	G. Doshi	A. Roide, A. Valles, D. Kulkarni	J. Ng, J. Crawford	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/6/2001	G. Doshi	A. Roide, A. Valles, D. Kulkarni	J. Ng, J. Crawford	invention disclosure attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/7/1999	K. Muthukumar	L. Novakoski, G. Doshi	N/A	email to attorney re: patent application	AC	suggestion for changes regarding attached patent application drafts
6/7/1999	K. Muthukumar	L. Novakoski, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/5/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	seeking review and comment regarding attached patent application draft
6/5/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/5/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	seeking review and comment regarding attached drafts

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
6/5/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/5/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
6/5/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	feedback questions attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	questions drafted by attorney L. Novakoski regarding aspects of the drafting process
6/5/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	power of attorney related to patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review (correct date 5/26) seeking review and comment regarding attached drafts
5/29/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application power of attorney related to patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	(correct date 5/26) draft sent for comment and review
5/29/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	(correct date 5/26) draft sent for comment and review
5/29/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	(correct date 5/26) draft sent for comment and review
5/26/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	seeking review and comment regarding attached drafts
5/26/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/26/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	feedback questions attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	questions drafted by attorney L. Novakoski regarding aspects of the drafting process
5/26/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	power of attorney related to patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/25/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application	AC	seeking review and comment regarding attached drafts

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
5/25/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/25/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/24/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/24/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/24/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/17/1999	G. Doshi	L. Novakoski, K. Muthukumar	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/17/1999	G. Doshi	L. Novakoski, K. Muthukumar	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/13/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/13/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application information attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/13/1999	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar, G. Doshi	N/A	patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/12/1999	G. Doshi	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/12/1999	G. Doshi	L. Novakoski	K. Muthukumar	email from attorney re: patent application patent application attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review regarding attached drafts
5/4/1999	L. Novakoski	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/4/1999	L. Novakoski	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
5/4/1999	L. Novakoski	G. Doshi	N/A	patent diagram attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/14/2000	R. Krishnaiyer	C. Dulong, W. Li, J. Crawford, D. Lavery, D. Setur, D. Kulkarni, R. Burtzlaiff, J. Waxman	G. Doshi, K. Muthukumar	email to attorney re: review of technical paper	AC	draft of paper sent to group that includes attorney for comment and review
11/14/2000	R. Krishnaiyer	C. Dulong, W. Li, J. Crawford, D. Lavery, D. Setur, D. Kulkarni, R. Burtzlaiff, J. Waxman	G. Doshi, K. Muthukumar	technical review attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	draft sent for comment and review
3/3/1999	G. Doshi	J. Waxman	J. Harrison, L. Novakoski	email chain involving attorney re: patent application	AC	(correction--is not discussing patent application) email involving group which includes Intel Legal Dept. relating to the process of reviewing and approving draft of technical paper and patent issues involved in paper
3/3/1999	G. Doshi	J. Waxman	J. Harrison, L. Novakoski	email chain involving attorney re: patent application	AC	(correction--is not discussing patent application) email involving group which includes Intel Legal Dept. relating to the process of reviewing and approving draft of technical paper and patent issues involved in paper
6/5/2002	S. Peterson	T. Thraves, M. Kister	B. Kirby, B. Leszinske, K. Kressin	email from attorney re: architecture presentation	AC	(correction--this S. Peterson NOT an attorney) contains attorney-client presentation co-authored by Intel attorney A. Polaski; sent for comment and review
6/5/2002	S. Peterson	T. Thraves, M. Kister	B. Kirby, B. Leszinske, K. Kressin	presentation attached to preceding privileged communication bearing the same date	AC	(correction--this S. Peterson NOT an attorney) attached presentation co-authored by Intel attorney A. Polaski sent for comment and review
Unknown	Blakely Sokoloff	N/A	N/A	Attorneys' working copy of a document related to '755 pending claims (Div. II). Document contains written notes.	AC	drafted in response to request from client for advice regarding the patent
Unknown	Blakely Sokoloff	N/A	N/A	Attorneys' working copy of a document related to '755 pending claims (Div. I). Document contains attorney's written notes.	AC	drafted in response to request from client for advice regarding the patent

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
10/30/1990	Blakely Sokoloff Ed Taylor	N/A	N/A	Attorneys' working copy of '755 patent accompanied by handwritten notes	AC	drafted in response to request from client for advice regarding the patent
8/30/1990	(Blakely Sokoloff)	John Crawford	N/A	Letter from attorney re: licensing inquiry. Also contains handwritten notes.	AC	drafted in response to request from client for advice regarding the patent
10/16/1990	Blakely Sokoloff	N/A	N/A	Legal summary and accompanying citation list	AC	drafted in response to request from client for advice regarding the patent
Unknown	Blakely Sokoloff	N/A	N/A	Attorneys' working copy of '755 patent. Document contains handwritten notes.	AC	drafted in response to request from client for advice regarding the patent
5/4/2005	L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	L. Schroeder, J. Allcock, R. Kay	N/A	letter from attorney re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
5/23/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	J. Allcock, R. Kay	N/A	fax from attorney re: patent litigation email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
6/15/2005	R. Kay	G. Frischling, L. Hadley	B. Ledahl	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/6/2005	R. Kay	L. Hadley	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/11/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	G. Frischling, R. Kay	B. Ledahl	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/11/2005	R. Kay	G. Frischling, L. Hadley	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/12/2005	R. Kay	T. Franks	J. Allcock, K. Riley	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between Intel attorneys reflecting advice and strategy regarding ongoing litigation
7/12/2005	G. Frischling L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay, L. Hadley	K. Orso, B. Ledahl	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/12/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	G. Frischling, R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege						
DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/12/2005	R. Kay L. Hadley	S. Rodgers, T. Franks,	J. Allcock, K. Riley, R. Mort	email involving attorneys with attached memo re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between Intel attorneys regarding attached memos authored by attorney dealing with strategy for ongoing litigation
7/14/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP) L. Hadley	B. Ledahl, R. Kay, G. Frischling	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/14/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	B. Ledahl, R. Kay, G. Frischling	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/14/2005	R. Kay	B. Ledahl, L. Handley, G. Frischling	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/14/2005	R. Kay	B. Ledahl, L. Handley, G. Frischling	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/14/2005	B. Ledahl	Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP	R. Kay, L. Handley, G. Frischling	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between defense attorneys reflecting advice and strategy regarding ongoing litigation
7/14/2005	B. Ledahl			email involving attorneys with attached draft of pleading re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/15/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP) DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP	R. Kay M. Chu, G. Frischling, B. Ledahl, L. Phillips, R. Kay, J. Allcock, J. Briones, R. Mort	N/A	fax from attorney re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between Intel attorneys regarding ongoing litigation
7/22/2005	R. Kay L. Hadley	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/26/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/26/2005	R. Kay	L. Hadley, G. Frischling	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/27/2005	L. Folk	L. Hadley	R. Kay	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
7/27/2005	L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
7/29/2005	DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP L. Hadley	R. Kay	N/A	fax involving attorneys of draft re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between Intel attorneys regarding ongoing litigation
7/29/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/1/2005	G. Frischling	R. Kay, L. Hadley	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/1/2005	R. Kay L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	L. Hadley	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/3/2005	L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay M. Chu, G. Frischling, B. Ledahl, L. Phillips, R. Kay, J. Allcock, J. Briones, R. Mort	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/3/2005	L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	M. Chu, G. Frischling, B. Ledahl, L. Phillips, R. Kay, J. Allcock, J. Briones, R. Mort	N/A	fax involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/3/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay	J. Briones	letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/3/2005	R. Kay	L. Hadley	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/8/2005	R. Kay	L. Hadley	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/8/2005	R. Kay	G. Frischling	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between defense attorneys reflecting advice and strategy regarding ongoing litigation
8/9/2005	G. Frischling	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between defense attorneys reflecting advice and strategy regarding ongoing litigation

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege						
DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
8/9/2005	L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between defense attorneys reflecting advice and strategy regarding ongoing litigation
8/10/2005	R. Kay L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	G. Frischling	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/11/2005	R. Kay Doman, LLP	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/11/2005	R. Kay L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Dorman, L. Hadley	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/12/2005	R. Kay Doman, LLP	G. Frischling, B. Ledahl, R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/16/2005	R. Kay	L. Hadley	N/A	letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/16/2005	R. Kay L. Spears	L. Hadley R. Kay	N/A	letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation letter to attorney re: patent litigation	AC/WP AC/WP	
8/18/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay, J. Allcock, J. Briones, R. Mort	N/A	fax involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between Intel attorneys reflecting advice and strategy regarding ongoing litigation
8/19/2005	R. Kay	S. Truelove, K. Kelley, T. Franks,	J. Allcock, R. Mort, K. Riley, M. Han	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP AC/WP	
8/19/2005	R. Kay L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	L. Hadley	N/A	fax involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/19/2005	L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay, J. Allcock, J. Briones, R. Mort	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/24/2005	(Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay	N/A	email involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/24/2005	L. Folk	Esquire Deposition Service	N/A	fax re: patent litigation deposition	AC/WP	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
8/25/2005	R. Kay	L. Hadley	N/A	fax involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/25/2005	R. Kay	L. Hadley	N/A	letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/30/2005	R. Kay	D. DeVos	N/A	letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	communications between Intel attorneys regarding ongoing litigation
9/7/2005	L. Hadley (Hennigan, Bennett & Doman, LLP)	R. Kay	R. Berman	letter involving attorneys re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
5/20/2004	D. Healey	T. Jackson	N/A	letter between attorneys regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
5/20/2004	M. Reiter	S. Rodgers	D. Healey, A. Peterman, T. Jackson	letter between attorneys regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
8/16/2005	R. Batista	D. Healey	N/A	letter between attorneys regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
8/12/2005	P. Leiter	G. Stephens	R. Kennedy, J. Levy	letter between attorneys regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
8/16/2005	R. Batista	G. Stephens	N/A	letter between attorneys regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
9/2/2005	D. McCombs	J. Draeger, C. Carraro, B. Erickson, K. Kudlap, J. Craycroft, C. Gagne, D. O'Dell	N/A	email between attorneys regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
12/22/2005	H.G. Gilliam	D. Healey	N/A	letter between attorneys regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
	Dyer at Perkins Coie firm	F. Dulce		email between attorney and Intel legal assistants regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
	Perkins Coie firm	F. Dulce, S. Truelove		email between attorney and Intel legal assistants regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
	Perkins Coie firm	F. Dulce, S. Truelove		email between attorney and Intel legal assistants regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
	Perkins Coie firm	F. Dulce, S. Truelove		email between attorney and Intel legal assistants regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
	Swindle at Perkins Coie firm	F. Dulce, S. Truelove		email between attorney and Intel legal assistants regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
	Dyer at Perkins Coie firm	F. Dulce		email between attorney and Intel legal assistant regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
	Swindle, P. Innes at Perkins Coie firm	F. Dulce, S. Truelove		email between attorney and Intel legal assistants regarding representation in ongoing litigation	AC/WP	
10/28/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
12/31/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
1/16/2004	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
9/28/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
6/16/2003	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
10/10/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
5/30/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
9/28/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
8/8/2002	J. Bond	J. Draeger		email to attorney re: patent litigation	AC/WP	
8/22/2001	Rob Wawrzyn	N/A		Chart containing information related to patents	AC	
c. 1/02	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Power point containing attorney-client information related to patent licensing	AC	
c. 4/1996	Richard Calderwood	N/A		Report containing strategic legal review and analysis	AC/WP	
c. 4/2006	J. Draeger	N/A		slide show presentation regarding investments and agreements	AC	
11/10/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding licensing	AC	
11/10/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding licensing	AC	
9/11/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding licensing	AC	
11/10/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding licensing	AC	
11/10/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A		Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding licensing	AC	

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/10/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding licensing	AC	
9/11/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
9/11/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding consultants	AC	
6/26/2000	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Memorandum regarding patent consultants	AC/WP	
6/26/2000	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Memorandum regarding patent consultants	AC/WP	
3/31/2000	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Memorandum regarding patent analysis	AC/WP	
11/28/1994	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of consultant agreement	AC	
Unknown	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of letter to consultant	AC	
Unknown	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	slide regarding licensing	AC	
Unknown	J. Chernesky	N/A	N/A	Power point containing attorney-client information related to patent licensing	AC	
1/16/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
1/16/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
1/16/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
1/16/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
9/11/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
1/16/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
1/16/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
1/16/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
4/23/2001	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
4/23/2001	I. Blumberg	N/A	N/A	Power point regarding licensing	AC	

Biac Corporation v. Intel Corporation, et al.

Fourth Supplemental Log RE: Documents Withheld on the Basis of Work-Product and/or Attorney-Client Privilege

DATE	AUTHOR(S)	RECIPIENT(S)	CC(S)	DESCRIPTION	BASIS FOR PRIVILEGE	FURTHER REQUESTED DESCRIPTION
11/10/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding patent analysis	AC	
2/24/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of license agreement	AC	
Unknown	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of license agreement	AC	
Unknown	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of license agreement	AC	
Unknown	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of transfer agreement	AC	
Unknown	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of purchase agreement	AC	
Unknown	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	draft of transfer agreement	AC	
2/25/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
2/25/2002	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Report containing information and advice regarding patents	AC	
10/18/2001	C. Uchendu	J. Bond, F. Detkin, J. Schiffhauer, D. Simon, S. Griffin, I. Blumberg, D. Connor, D. Merino	N/A	Email regarding report on information and advice about patents	AC	
1/23/2002	C. Uchendu	J. Bond, F. Detkin, J. Schiffhauer, D. Simon, S. Griffin, I. Blumberg, D. Connor, D. Merino	N/A	Email regarding report on information and advice about patents	AC	
10/12/2001	C. Uchendu	Griffin, I. Blumberg, D. Connor, D. Merino	N/A	Email regarding report on information and advice about patents	AC	
11/2/2006	Intel Legal Dept.	N/A	N/A	Page from Intel Legal Team website regarding ongoing litigation	AC/WP	